

KENNETH JEFFREYS,  
an individual,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
NORTHWEST CENTRE ACQUISITION LLC,  
a North Carolina Limited Liability Company,  
  
Defendant.

Plaintiff, KENNETH JEFFREYS, through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues NORTHWEST CENTRE ACQUISITION LLC, a North Carolina Limited Liability Company, for injunctive relief, damages, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, ("Americans with Disabilities Act" or "ADA") and alleges:

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, (the “ADA”). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of all other claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

Case 1:21-cv-00449-NCT-LPA Document 1 Filed 06/03/21 Page 1 of 6

3. Plaintiff, KENNETH JEFFREYS, (hereinafter referred to as “MR. JEFFREYS” or “Plaintiff”), is a resident of Wake County, North Carolina.

4. MR. JEFFREYS, is an individual with a qualified disability under the ADA. In 1992, MR. JEFFREYS was hit by a drunk driver while working on his job. As a result of that accident, MR. JEFFREYS is a double leg amputee.

5. Due to his disability, MR. JEFFREYS is substantially impaired in several major life activities and requires a wheelchair for mobility.

6. Upon information and belief, NORTHWEST CENTRE ACQUISITION LLC, a North Carolina Limited Liability Company, (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant”) is registered to do business in North Carolina. Upon information and belief, NORTHWEST CENTRE ACQUISITION LLC, is the owner and/or operator of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, to wit: Northwest Centre Shopping Center (hereinafter referred to as the “Property,”) which is generally located at 2803 Battleground Avenue, Greensboro, NC 27408.

7. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Middle District of North Carolina, Guilford County.

**COUNT I**  
**(VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA)**

8. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 - 7 as if they were expressly restated herein.

9. The Property, a retail shopping plaza, is open to the public and provides goods and services to the public.

10. Plaintiff has visited the Property discussed herein multiple times, including his

last visit in January 2021, and plans to visit again in the near future.

11. However, during his visits, MR. JEFFREYS experienced serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services at the Property due to the architectural barriers discussed in this Complaint. Moreover, but for the inaccessible condition of the Property, Plaintiff would like to visit the Property more often.

12. Due to the barriers, Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal access to good and services offered at the Property, owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendant. Additionally, MR. JEFFREYS continues to desire to visit the Property, but fears that he will again encounter serious difficulty and safety hazards due to the barriers discussed herein which still exist.

13. Defendant has discriminated, and continues to discriminate, against Plaintiff in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 *et seq.* by excluding and/or denying Plaintiff the benefits of the goods and services located on the Property by failing to provide and/or correct the following barriers to access which Plaintiff personally observed, encountered, and which hindered his access:

- A. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible parking designated as accessible throughout the Property due to excessive long and cross slopes. This condition made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely transfer in and out of his vehicle and into the access aisle; and
- B. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible curb ramps on the designated accessible routes throughout the Property due to excessively steep long and cross slopes and a lack of level landings. These conditions made it difficult and for Plaintiff to maneuver along the accessible route of travel and increased his risk of fall;

and

- C. Plaintiff encountered inaccessible entrances to tenants throughout the Property due to lack of level clear floor space in the pull side clearance area of the entrance. These conditions made it difficult for Plaintiff to enter into tenant entrances and increased his risk of fall.

14. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of the ADA and the ADA Accessibility Guidelines (“ADAAG”) at the Property, and only after a full inspection is performed by the Plaintiff or Plaintiff’s representatives can all said violations be identified.

15. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

16. Independent of his intent to return as a patron to the Property, Plaintiff additionally intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access stated herein have been remedied.

17. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, structurally feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on Defendant.

18. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would allow Plaintiff to fully utilize the goods and services located therein.

19. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses paid by Defendant.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. That the Court declare that the Property owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendant is in violation of the ADA;
- B. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to alter its facility to make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures;
- D. That the Court award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and
- E. That this Court award Plaintiff such other additional and proper relief as may be just and equitable.

Date: June 3, 2021

Respectfully Submitted,

KU & MUSSMAN, P.A.  
18501 Pines Blvd, Suite 209-A  
Pembroke Pines, Florida 33029  
Tel: (305) 891-1322  
Fax: (954) 686-3976

By: /s/ Walter E. Daniels

KU & MUSSMAN, P.A.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Walter E. Daniels III, Esq.

NC Bar No. 27219

14 South Pack Square, Suite 502

Asheville, North Carolina 28801

Tel: (828) 258-7022

Fax: (888) 277-2412

[danielslawfirm.p.c@gmail.com](mailto:danielslawfirm.p.c@gmail.com)